In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.29490-M of 2007

.....

Date of decision:15.5.2007

Nitasha Jassal

.....Petitioner

v.

Inspector General of Police, U.T., Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

....

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Shergill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Rai, Senior Advocate with Ms. Meenakshi Dogra, Advocate for U.T. Admn., Chandigarh.

.....

S.S. Saron, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. read with Article 21 of the Constitution of India has been filed seeking directions to Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (respondent No.2) to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner who has been given threats by her father (respondent No.3). It is alleged that the father of the petitioner is forcing her to stay in his house and is not allowing her to stay with her mother, who has re-married after the parents of the petitioner were divorced on 7.10.1997. On the last date of hearing, a copy of the petition was given to the leaned counsel for U.T. Administration to enable him to seek instructions.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the U.T. Administration, on instructions from ASI Mohan Lal, Police Station, Sector 36, Chandigarh, has submitted that the statement of respondent No.3, who is the father of the petitioner, has been recorded and he has stated that he has no objection to

the petitioner residing wherever she wishes.

In view of the aforesaid statement, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

May 15, 2007. **(S.S. Saron)**
Judge

hsp